REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Status

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 12-21, 23-25, 31 and 32 are pending. Claims 3-6, 8, 10, 11, 22 and 26-30 are canceled without prejudice. Claims 7, 9, 12-21 and 23-25 are withdrawn. Claims 1, 7, 9, 12-15, 17, 21, 24 and 25 are currently amended. Claim 1 is amended such that the wax composition "consisting essentially of" is now recited as the wax composition "consisting of." Withdrawn claims 7, 9, 12-15, 17, 21, 24 and 25 are directly or indirectly dependent from claim 1 and are amended to correspond to the amendments to claim 1 made during prosecution. No new matter has been entered.

§103 (a) Rejections

Claims 1, 2, 31 and 32 have been rejected as obvious in view of the combination of *Manson* (US 2,413,239), *Young* (US 2,595,911), *Zemaitis* (US 2,375,162) and *Hershberger* (US 2,159,152), and as evidenced by *Ciullo* (Rubber Formulary) for claim 32. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

As noted above, claim 1 is amended such that the wax composition "consisting essentially of" is now recited as the wax composition "consisting of" a microcrystalline wax and a component to be mixed with the wax (i.e., natural rubber or polyisoprene rubber). Furthermore, the wax composition of claim 1 recites that 15-30% of the wax composition is the component to be mixed with the wax (i.e., natural rubber or polyisoprene rubber), and the wax composition obtained comprises no more than 3 ppm of solvent.

In contrast, *Manson* discloses a wax composition consisting essentially of a <u>non-</u>microcrystalline wax, natural rubber and <u>polyvinyl ester resin</u> (see col. 1, lines 8-16 and col. 2, lines 48-55). Also in contrast to the claimed invention, *Young* discloses compositions that have an additional essential component other than wax and rubber, namely a "<u>copolymer</u>

plastic" (see col. 1, lines 1-14). Moreover, *Zemaitis* differs from the claimed invention in that the reference discloses compositions comprising wax, rubber and a polyvalent metal soap (see response filed September 30, 2008, and Office Action dated November 26, 2008 withdrawing rejection over *Zemaitis* alone). Lastly, also contrary to the claimed invention, *Hershberger* discloses compositions comprising non-microcrystalline wax, less than 10% rubber and 25-50 mass% solvent (see response filed February 25, 2009 (entered March 26, 2009 via RCE), and Office Action dated April 30, 2009 withdrawing rejection over *Hershberger* alone).

Accordingly, none of the cited references, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest the claimed process of producing a <u>wax composition consisting of a microcrystalline</u> wax and a component to be mixed with the wax, comprising mixing the wax and the component to be mixed with the wax by applying an external force at a temperature lower than the melting completion temperature of the wax, <u>wherein the wax composition comprises</u> 15% to 30% of the component to be mixed with the wax, the component to be mixed with the wax is natural rubber or polyisoprene rubber, <u>and the wax composition obtained comprises no</u> more than 3 ppm of solvent.

As such, the combination of *Manson*, *Young*, *Zemaitis* and *Hershberger* does not render obvious the claimed invention. Thus, Applicants request withdrawal of these obviousness rejections.

Request for Rejoinder

Upon making a determination of allowable subject matter (i.e., claim 1), the Office is requested to rejoin and allow the withdrawn claims as well. Withdrawn claims 7, 9, 12-21 and 23-25 depend from (directly or indirectly) or otherwise include all of the limitations of claim 1, and should therefore be rejoined and allowed pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 821.04.

Application No. 10/532,506 Reply to Office Action of April 30, 2009

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, Applicants submit that all now-pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections and passage of this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/07) Justine M. Wilbur Attorney of Record Registration No. 59,678